

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/629,746	ABRAMS, LOUIS BROWN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	EDMUND H. LEE	1732	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) EDMUND H. LEE.

(2) d. swartz.

**Date of Interview:** 21 January 2005

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*THOSE OUTSTANDING IN THE CASE*

Claims discussed:  
*THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*THOSE CITED AS PRIOR ART OF RECORD*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*DISCUSSED THE CLARIFICATION OF CLAIM LANGUAGE IN ORDER TO PLACE THE INSTANT CASE IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. CHANGES WILL BE MADE BY EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT (SEE ATTACHMENT).*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)